	Case 5:11-cv-02509-LHK Document	63 Filed 09/06/11 Page 1 of 10
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7 8		
9	UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT
10	NORTHERN DIS	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11	SIDDHARTH HARIHARAN,	Case No. C 11-CV-2509-LHK
12	individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	
13	Plaintiff,	STIPULATED [PROPOSED] PRETRIAL ORDER NO. 1
14	V.	
15	ADOBE SYSTEMS INC., et al.,	
16	Defendants.	
17	BRANDON MARSHALL, individually and on behalf of all others similarly	Case No. C 11-CV-3538-LHK
18	situated,	
19	Plaintiff, v.	
20	ADOBE SYSTEMS INC., et al.,	
21	Defendants.	
22 23	MICHAEL DEVINE, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No. C 11-CV-3539-LHK
24	Plaintiff,	
25	v.	
26	ADOBE SYSTEMS INC., et al.,	
27	Defendants.	
28	caption continues on next page	

1	MARK FICHTNER, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No. C 11-CV-3540-LHK
2	•	
3	Plaintiff, v.	
4	ADOBE SYSTEMS INC., et al.,	
5	Defendants.	
6 7	DANIEL STOVER, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No. C 11-CV-3541-LHK
8	Plaintiff,	
9	v. ADOBE SYSTEMS INC., et al.,	
10	Defendants.	
11		
12	WHEREAS, individual and re	epresentative plaintiffs Siddharth Hariharan, Brandon
13	Marshall, Michael Devine, Mark Fichtner, an	nd Daniel Stover ("Plaintiffs") have filed complaints
14	("Complaints") in the above-captioned action	ns for alleged violations of the antitrust laws by
15	Adobe Systems Inc., Apple Inc., Google Inc.	, Intel Corp., Intuit Inc., Lucasfilm Ltd., Pixar, and
16	DOES 1-200 ("Defendants"), pursuant to Ca	lifornia's antitrust statute, Business and Professions
17	Code sections 16720, et seq. (the "Cartwrigh	t Act"); Business and Professions Code
18	section 16600 ("Section 16600"); and Califo	rnia's unfair competition law, Business and
19	Professions Code sections 17200, et seq. (the	e "Unfair Competition Law");
20	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs seek to	proceed on behalf of a proposed class as defined in
21	the Consolidated Amended Complaint (the "	Proposed Class");
22	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs have a	greed to file a master consolidated amended
23	complaint including each of them and supers	eding the Complaints currently on file;
24	WHEREAS, consolidation of	the Complaints and other like actions will avoid
25	duplication and unnecessary costs, and will p	promote the efficient conduct of proceedings herein;
26	NOW, THEREFORE, THE	COURT ORDERS:
27		
28		
	931334.1	- 2 - STIPULATED [PROPOSED] PRETRIAL ORDER

I. CONSOLIDATION

1. Each of the above-captioned actions (collectively, the "Consolidated Action") is hereby consolidated for all purposes pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 42(a).

II. MASTER DOCKET AND MASTER FILE

2. A Master Docket and a Master File under the civil action number that has been assigned to the first-filed case, *Siddharth Hariharan v. Adobe Systems Inc.*, *et al.*, Case No. 11-CV-2509-LHK, are hereby established for the Consolidated Action. All docket entries regarding the Consolidated Action shall be docketed under the Master File number 11-CV-2509-LHK. If a document pertains to only one or some of the consolidated cases, it will be docketed on the Master Docket with the notation in the docket text as to the case number(s) to which it pertains.

III. APPLICATION OF THIS ORDER TO SUBSEQUENTLY FILED OR TRANSFERRED CASES

3. When a case which relates to the subject matter of the Consolidated Action is hereafter filed in this Court or transferred here from another court, the Clerk of Court shall make an appropriate entry in the Master Docket. Counsel for Plaintiffs in the Consolidated Action shall promptly mail a copy of this Order to counsel for plaintiff(s) in each subsequently filed or transferred related action and to counsel for any defendant(s) in each such action not already a party to the Consolidated Action. Promptly thereafter, upon notice to counsel for the parties in each such action, counsel for Plaintiffs in the Consolidated Action shall submit to the Court a proposed order consolidating any such action with the Consolidated Action.

IV. CAPTION OF CASES

4. All papers hereafter filed in the Consolidated Action shall bear the following Caption:

IN RE HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Master Docket No. 11-CV-2509-LHK

THUS DOCKET MENTEDEL A TEST TO

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

1	5. When a pleading or other court paper is intended to apply to all actions to which
2	this Order is applicable, the words "All Actions" shall appear immediately after the words "THIS
3	DOCUMENT RELATES TO" in the caption set out above. When a pleading or other court paper
4	is intended to be applicable only to a subset of the Actions, the separate caption and docket
5	number for each individual action to which the pleading is intended to be applicable shall appear
6	immediately after or below the words "THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO" in the caption
7	described above. The short form of the case caption ([named plaintiff] v. [first named defendant]
8	et al.) for such actions may be used.
9	V. FILING AND DOCKETING
10	6. All papers previously filed and served to date in any of the above-referenced

- actions are hereby deemed part of the record in 11-CV-2509-LHK.
- 7. When a paper is filed and the caption shows that it is to be applicable to "All Actions," such paper shall be filed in the Master File and the Clerk shall note such filing in the Master Docket. Such papers need not be filed, and docket entries need not be made, in any other case file.
- 8. When a paper is filed and the caption shows that it is to be applicable to fewer than all of the Consolidated Actions, such paper shall be filed in the Master File, and the clerk shall note such filing in both the Master Docket and the docket of each such action. Thus, the paper should only be filed in the Master File in 11-CV-2509-LHK.

VI. ECF AND SERVICE OF DOCUMENTS

- 9. This case is subject to Electronic Case Filing ("ECF"), pursuant to General Order 45, Section VI, which requires that all documents in such a case be filed electronically. If counsel has not already done so, counsel shall register forthwith as an ECF user and be issued an ECF user ID and password. Forms and instructions can be found on the Court's website at ecf.cand.uscourts.gov.
- 10. All documents shall be e-filed in the Master File in 11-CV-2509-LHK. Papers that are filed electronically through the Court's ECF system are deemed served on all parties as of the

28

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1	date of filing. All other service of papers shall be governed by the Rules of Civil Procedure,
2	unless otherwise agreed by the parties.
3	VII. PRESERVATION OF EVIDENCE
4	11. Until the parties agree on a preservation plan or the Court orders otherwise, each
5	party shall take reasonable steps to preserve all documents, data, and tangible things containing
6	information potentially relevant to the subject matter of this litigation.
7	VIII. CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT AND RESPONSE
8	12. On September 2, 2011, Plaintiffs provided Defendants with a courtesy copy of the
9	Consolidated Amended Complaint. Within two days after an order from the Court consolidating
10	the above-captioned actions, Plaintiffs shall file the Consolidated Amended Complaint. The
11	Consolidated Amended Complaint shall be deemed Plaintiffs' initial filing for purposes of
12	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a). The Consolidated Amended Complaint shall relate back
13	to the date of the first-filed action, Siddharth Hariharan v. Adobe Systems Inc., et al., Case No.
14	11-CV-2509-LHK, for all purposes. Defendants shall have no obligation to answer, move, or
15	otherwise plead in response to the previously-filed Complaints.
16	13. Defendants shall answer, move, or otherwise plead in response to the Consolidated
17	Amended Complaint by October 13, 2011. If Defendants respond with a motion to dismiss:
18	a. Defendants, while reserving their rights to file separate motions to dismiss
19	to the extent any deems it necessary to do so, will endeavor to file a single consolidated motion
20	accompanied by a single consolidated memorandum of points and authorities, not exceeding
21	thirty pages in length.
22	b. If Defendants file a single motion to dismiss as described in Paragraph a.
23	above, Plaintiffs shall file a single consolidated opposition memorandum of points and authorities
24	by November 11, 2011, not exceeding thirty pages in length.
25	c. If Defendants file a single motion to dismiss as described in Paragraph a.
26	above, Defendants may file a single consolidated reply memorandum by December 9, 2011, not

- 5 -

27

exceeding twenty pages in length.

1			d.	If Defendants file more than one motion to dismiss, the schedule listed
2	above w	ill no	t chang	e. However, Defendants will so inform Plaintiffs by September 28, 2011,
3	and the	Partie	s shall 1	meet and confer regarding the appropriate length of the opposition and reply
4	briefs.			
5]	14.	These	deadlines do not alter any deadlines or conference dates previously set by
6	the Cou	rt.		
7	IX.	ORG/	ANIZA	TION OF PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL
8	1	15.	Pursua	ant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(g)(3), the Court designates
9	Joseph I	R. Sav	eri of L	Lieff, Cabraser, Heimann & Bernstein, LLP, as interim Lead Counsel on
10	behalf o	f all P	Plaintiff	s and the Proposed Class in the Consolidated Action.
11	1	16.	Lead (Counsel shall have authority over the following matters on behalf of all
12	Plaintiff	s and	the Pro	posed Class in the Consolidated Action:
13			a.	convening meetings of Plaintiffs' counsel;
14			b.	the initiation, response, scheduling, briefing, and argument of all motions;
15			c.	the scope, order, and conduct of all discovery proceedings;
16			d.	making such work assignments as among themselves and other Plaintiffs'
17	counsel	as the	y may	deem appropriate;
18			e.	collecting time and expense reports from all Plaintiffs' counsel on a
19	periodic	basis	;	
20			f.	the retention of experts;
21			g.	the designation of which Plaintiffs' attorneys shall appear at hearings and
22	confere	nces w	vith the	Court;
23			h.	settlement negotiations and agreements with Defendants; and
24			i.	all other matters concerning the prosecution of the Consolidated Action.
25	1	17.	No mo	otion shall be filed on behalf of all Plaintiffs in the Consolidated Action
26	except the	hroug	h Lead	Counsel or his designee(s).
27	1	18.	Defen	dants' counsel may rely on all agreements made with Lead Counsel, and
28	such agi	reeme	nts shal	l be binding on all other Plaintiffs.

1	19. The Court design	gnates the following to act, with Lead Counsel, as members of the
2	Executive Committee on behal	If of all Plaintiffs in the Consolidated Action: Eric L. Cramer of
3	Berger & Montague, P.C. and	Linda P. Nussbaum of Grant & Eisenhofer P.A. Members of the
4	Executive Committee shall, on	a regular basis, confer regarding the administration and
5	prosecution of the Consolidate	ed Action.
6	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATI	En
7	II IS HEREDI SIH CLAII	ED.
8	Dated: September 6, 2011	LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP
9		Den /a/ Inneral D. Commi
10		By: /s/ Joseph R. Saveri JOSEPH R. SAVERI Attorneys for individual and representative Plaintiffs
11		Siddharth Hariharan, Brandon Marshall, Michael Devine, Mark Fichtner, and Daniel Stover
12		Wark Fichther, and Damer Stover
13	Dated: September 6, 2011	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
14		
15		By: <u>/s/Michael F. Tubach</u> MICHAEL F. TUBACH
16		Attorneys for Defendant APPLE INC.
17 18		
19	Dated: September 6, 2011	KEKER & VAN NEST LLP
20		By: /s/ Daniel Purcell
21		By: /s/ Daniel Purcell DANIEL PURCELL Attorneys for Defendant
22		LUCAŠFILM LTD.
23	Dated: September 6, 2011	JONES DAY
24		
25		By: /s/ David C. Kiernan DAVID C. KIERNAN
26		Attorneys for Defendant ADOBE SYSTEMS INC.
27		
28		

1	Dated: September 6, 2011	MAYER BROWN LLP
2		
3		By: <u>/s/ Lee H. Rubin</u> LEE H. RUBIN
4		Attorneys for Defendant GOOGLE INC.
5		
6	Dated: September 6, 2011	BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP
7		By: <u>/s/ Holly A. House</u>
8		HOLLY A. HOUSE Attorneys for Defendant
9		INTEL CORPORATION
10	Dated: September 6, 2011	JONES DAY
11		
12		By: <u>/s/ Robert A. Mittelstaedt</u> ROBERT A. MITTELSTAEDT
13		Attorneys for Defendant INTUIT INC.
14		
15	Dated: September 6, 2011	COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
16		By: <u>/s/ Emily Johnson Henn</u>
17		EMILY JOHNSON HENN Attorneys for Defendant
18		PIXAR
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	931334.1	- 8 - STIPULATED [PROPOSED] PRETRIAL ORDEI

1	Filer's Attestation
2	Pursuant to General Order No. 45, § X(B), I attest under penalty of perjury that concurrence in
3	the filing of the document has been obtained from all the signatories.
4	
5	Dated: September 6, 2011 /s/ Dean M. Harvey
6	DEAN M. HARVEY LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN
7	& BERNSTEIN, LLP
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	O STIPULATED [PROPOSED] PRETRIAL ORDE

1	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
2		
3	Dated:	HOM I HOW II WOW
4		HON. LUCY H. KOH UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	021224 1	- 10 - STIPULATED [PROPOSED] PRE